

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE ·	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,425	10/31/2003	Dianne Ellis	PGI6044P1151US	3280
*****		EXAMINER		
500 W. MADIS			SINGH,	ARTI R
SUITE 3800 CHICAGO, IL	60661	10/31/2003 Dianne Ellis PGI6044P1151US 3280		
			1771	INGH, ARTI R PAPER NUMBER
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MONTHS 04/16/2007			PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summany		10/699,425	ELLIS ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	TI MANUNO DATE (H:	Ms. Arti Singh	1771
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
WHI0 - Exte after - If N0 - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES and the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depend for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•	
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL 2b) This	action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal ma	itters, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	ion of Claims		
4) 🛛	Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-14 is/are pending in the app	plication.	
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-14 is/are withdraw		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		*
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-6,8 and 9 is/are rejected.		
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	
Applicat	ion Papers		
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		b by the Examiner.
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		-
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in rity documents have bee	Application No
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	ot received.
Attachmen	ut(s)		
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infon	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 10/18/65	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) b(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/699,425 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The Examiner has carefully considered Applicant's amendments and accompanying remarks dated 10/18/05. Applicant's amendments to the claims have been entered. However, the some of newly submitted claims 10-14 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: Claims 10-14 are directed towards the method of cleaning a hard surface, and not the nonwoven antimicrobial wipe as those set forth in claims 1-6, 8 and 9. Additionally, this method could be used to clean any surface and could be a woven wipe and still perform the same function as set forth in claims 10-14.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 10-14 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Applicant's amendment to the specification, by replacing the abstract overcomes the objection made in paragraph 3 of the last office action.

In view of Applicant's response all other rejections are withdrawn at this time. A new art rejection and a double patenting rejection is set forth below.

The lds submitted on 10/18/05 appears to be to a different case, thus the Examienr has crossed out the references. Please verify.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent

Art Unit: 1771

possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Page 3

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-6, 8 and 9 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7 of copending Application No. 10/762945. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: nonwoven with

Application/Control Number: 10/699,425 Page 4

Art Unit: 1771

antimicrobial agents. This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-6, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by USPN 6734157 issued to Radwanski et al.
- 6. Radwanski et al disclose making wipers that provide a controlled release antimicrobial agent. Said wipe may be a hard surface wipe (column 1-Field of Invention). The antimicrobial agent may be applied while the fibers are being made (column 3) or may be incorporated with the substrate or may be applied as a coating and adhered to the substrate layer (column 2). The antimicrobial agents that are employed can be combined with various polymers, binders or a combination thereof (column3). The substrate may be a fibrous nonwoven that is hydroentangled, which can be a composite absorbent fabric (abstract), thus meeting the limitations of being a laminate -claim 5. In column 3, the instant patent teaches that the antimicrobial agent can be a plethora of agents and includes that of quaternary type (see also columns 7-8). In column 5 towards the beginning the instant patent teaches that the antimicrobial agent that is applied to the substrate is activated or release upon contact with water.

Application/Control Number: 10/699,425 Page 5

Art Unit: 1771

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ms. Arti Singh whose telephone number is 571-272-1483. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T 9-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ms. Arti Singh Primary Examiner Art Unit 1771